# RGH Developments <br> <br> Rocky Acres Subdivision <br> <br> Rocky Acres Subdivision Stormwater Management Report 

Prepared by:

## Statement of Qualifications and Limitations

The attached Report has been prepared by Forefront Engineering Inc. (Consultant) for the benefit of the Client in accordance with their Agreement.

The information, data, recommendations and conclusions contained in the Report:

1. is subject to the scope, schedule, and other constraints and limitations in the Agreement and the qualifications contained in the Report;
2. represents Consultant's judgement in light of the limitations and industry standards for the preparation of similar reports;
3. may be based on information provided to Consultant which has not been independently verified;
4. has not been updated since the date of issuance of the Report and its accuracy is limited to the time and circumstances in which it was prepared; and
5. must be read as a whole and sections should not be read out of context.

Consultant shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of information that was provided to it and has no obligation to update such information. Consultant accepts no responsibility for any events or circumstances that may have occurred since the date on which the Report was prepared.

Any estimates or opinions regarding expected construction costs or construction schedule provided by Consultant represent Consultant's judgement in light of its experience and the knowledge and information available to it at the time of preparation. Consultant does not make any representations, with respect to such estimates or opinions, and accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from them. Persons relying on such estimates or opinions do so at their own risk.

Except as agreed to in writing by Consultant and Client; as required by-law; or to the extent used by governmental reviewing agencies for the purpose of obtaining permits or approvals, the Report and the Information may be used and relied upon only by Client.

Consultant accepts no responsibility, to parties other than Client who may obtain access to the Report or the information for any injury, loss or damage suffered by such parties arising from their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report, except to the extent those parties have obtained the prior written consent of Consultant to use and rely upon the Report and the information. Any injury, loss or damages arising from improper use of the Report shall be borne by the party making such use.

April 23, 2021

RGH Developments
190 Pauline Tom Avenue
Kingston, ON
K7K 0G1

## Regarding: Rocky Acres Subdivision Stormwater Management Report

Dear Mr. Haynes

The enclosed report details the existing drainage conditions and provides recommendations for stormwater management and drainage for the proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision located in the Town of Gananoque.

The proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision fronts Garfield Street, is approximately 2.81 ha, consisting of 27 single-detached lots and 2 semi-detached lots (4 units) for a total of 31 units. The development will include a new street with two connections to Garfield Street.

It is recommended that storm sewers and storm sewer services be installed along the proposed street with a connection to Maple Street storm sewers through an easement.

Development of the Rocky Acres Subdivision will result in an increase in impervious surfaces and could potentially impact stormwater quantity and quality. It is recommended that an oil grit separator be installed at the outlet to the Maple Street storm sewer to mitigate any adverse water quality effects that site run-off may have on downstream works.

Stormwater management details are contained in this Report along with recommended maintenance procedures.

Detailed subdivision and stormwater facility drawings are required.

This Report demonstrates that adequate stormwater management controls are available for the proposed development

If you have any inquiries or wish to discuss further, please contact this office.
Sincerely,

## FOREFRONT Engineering Inc.



Kyle Nielissen, P.Eng.
Project Manager
Kyle.Nielissen@Forefronteng.ca
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## 1. Introduction

Forefront has assembled relevant supporting information for the proposed residential development at Part of Lots 2 and 71, Part of Old Kingston Road, Registered Plan 86 in the Town of Gananoque in the County of Leeds.

The proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision is located in the Town of Gananoque east of Garfield Street and west of Maple Street. The property is bounded by existing residential dwellings to the north, east, west and south. The property includes frontage on Garfield Street.


Figure 1: Site Location
The subject site is currently zoned Residential within the Town of Gananoque. The property is currently vacant with no existing structures.

The proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision fronts Garfield Street, is approximately 2.81 ha, consisting of 27 singledetached lots and 2 semi-detached lots (4 units) for a total of 31 units. The development will include a new street with two connections to Garfield Street.

It is recommended that storm sewers and storm sewer services be installed along the proposed street with a connection to Maple Street storm sewers.

Development of the Rocky Acres Subdivision will result in an increase in impervious surfaces and could potentially impact stormwater quantity and quality. This Report proposes a plan to address stormwater management concerns and minimize impacts on the natural drainage and environment.

Refer to Appendix A, Concept Plan for the proposed development plan.

## 2. Existing Site Conditions

The subject site and surrounding affected drainage area total approximately 2.81 hectares.
The existing topography of the site drains towards Maple Street. Rock outcrops are visible on the surface, suggesting relatively shallow bedrock. The subject site is currently vacant with no existing structures.

The subject site drains north easterly in a shallow depression to a buried pipe located adjacent to 180 Maple Street North. Drainage from the site and developed lands to the north is directed to an existing storm sewer and catch basin system along Maple Street North that eventually outlets from an existing 900 mm diameter storm sewer on River Street to the Gananoque River (Outlet 1).

Drainage from lands to the southwest of the development, including Garfield Street, is collected by the King Street West storm sewer system and outlets direct to the St. Lawrence River near the intersection of King Street West and Elm Street (Outlet 2).

In order to service the proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision, existing downstream storm sewers were reviewed to both the Gananoque River (Outlet 1) and the St. Lawrence River (Outlet 2).

The Gananoque River outlet (Outlet 1) is within the Gananoque Intake Protection Zone 2 (IPZ-2). The Cataraqui Source Protection Plan approved in 2014 and effective as of 2015 recommends that developed areas within the IPZ2 be designed for an 'enhanced' level of stormwater treatment.

Please refer to Appendix A, Figure 2: Pre-Development Catchment Areas and Figure 4: Gananoque West Ward Storm Sewer Catchment Areas for existing condition details.

## 3. Proposed Development

The proposed Rocky Acres Subdivision fronts Garfield Street, is approximately 2.81 ha, consisting of 27 singledetached lots and 2 semi-detached lots ( 4 units) for a total of 31 units. The development will include a new street with two connections to Garfield Street.

Quality controls are proposed for this development. Quantity control is not anticipated to be required as the storm sewer system from Maple Street out to the Gananoque River outlet (Outlet 1) has adequate capacity for the uncontrolled peak flow. Further to this, the Gananoque River outlet is in close proximity to the St. Lawrence River. Peak flows from downstream developed area will precede peak flows from upstream undeveloped areas.

Please refer to Appendix A, Figure 3: Post-Development Catchment Areas for proposed condition details.

### 3.1 Drainage Plan

The proposed storm sewer system is to be sized to convey the minor event and connect to the existing 600 mm storm sewer system on Maple Street North conveying drainage to Outlet 1.

Major flow for the site will be conveyed by overland surface drainage within the right of way and diverted westerly to Garfield Street directed to King Street West and Outlet 2.

For stormwater quality control for the subject site, enhanced protection ( $80 \%$ suspended solids removal) is required as the site is within the Gananoque Intake Protection Zone 2.

Storm sewers and a storm sewer connection to the existing 600 mm storm sewer on Maple Street North are proposed to convey the minor event. An approximate drainage area of 2.81 ha is directed towards Maple Street. An oil grit separator sized for enhanced protection is proposed at the site discharge point prior to flow entering the 600 mm diameter Maple Street storm sewer from the subject site.

Lot level conveyance controls and further details will be provided during detailed design of the grading and drainage of these areas, these details will be depicted on the final engineering drawings.

### 3.2 Water Quantity

Urbanization leads to an increase in impermeable surfaces (roof tops and parking areas). The resultant increased peak flows increase the risk to life, environment and property damage. Water quantity control is generally required when there will be downstream quantity impacts.

Consistent with general stormwater management practices, stormwater quality is proposed for the site. Quantity control is not recommended as the site outlets directly to Lake Ontario. Minor storm sewer systems will be designed for the 5 -year design event. Overland flow paths are to convey the 100 -year storm event.

### 3.1 Analysis

The Rational Method will be utilized to design the proposed drainage conveyances onsite.

### 3.1.1 Rational Method

The rational method calculates the peak flow rate at a specific location in a catchment due to the runoff contributed from the entire upstream catchment area. The rational method is represented by then following equation:

$$
Q=2.78 A I R
$$

where $Q=$ Design flow in $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s}$,
A = area in hectares
I = rainfall intensity in $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$, and
$R=$ runoff coefficient.
A minimum time of concentration of 15 minutes is proposed onsite given the calculated times of concentration for the site is less than 15 minutes.

### 3.1.2 Design Storm Events

## Quality Event

The Ministry of Environment Stormwater Management Manual refers to a 12.5 mm to 25 mm 4 hour Chicago storm event for sizing quality treatment facilities in Ontario that are not included in table 3.2 of the manual.

The following formula has been developed for a $25 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{hr}$ Chicago Design storm for this area:
$\mathrm{I}_{25 \mathrm{~mm}}=\frac{498}{\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}+9.7\right)^{0.825}}$

## Minor Event and Major Event

Storm sewers are proposed along the proposed street. The storm sewer will be designed for the 5 -year design storm and provide surcharge protection for all major flow events. The storm sewer shall be designed using Manning's equation and intensities based on the MTO IDF curve for the area. Refer to Appendix B for IDF curve details.

The proposed storm sewer system is to be sized to convey the minor event and connect to the existing 600 mm storm sewer system on Maple Street North conveying drainage to Outlet 1.

Major flow for the site will be conveyed by overland surface drainage within the right of way and diverted westerly to Garfield Street directed to King Street West and Outlet 2.

### 3.1.3 Hydrology

## Runoff Coefficients

The runoff coefficient (C) is a dimensionless coefficient relating the amount of runoff to the amount of precipitation received. It is a larger value for areas with low infiltration and high runoff (pavement, steep gradient), and lower for permeable, well vegetated areas (forest, flat land). Coefficients were assigned based on surface cover and soil conditions as follows:

| Urban |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use \& Topography | Runoff Coefficients |  |  |
| Asphalt, concrete, roof areas | 0.9 |  |  |
| Grassed area, parkland | 0.25 |  |  |
| Commercial | 0.8 |  |  |
| Industrial | 0.7 |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |
| Single family ( $<400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | 0.4 |  |  |
| Single family ( $>400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | 0.5 |  |  |
| Semi-detached | 0.5 |  |  |
| Townhouses | 0.6 |  |  |
| Apartments | 0.6 |  |  |
| Institutions | 0.55 |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |
|  | Soil Texture |  |  |
| Land Use \& Topography | Open Sand Loam | Loam <br> or <br> Silt <br> Loam | Clay <br> Loam <br> or <br> Clay |
| Cultivated |  |  |  |
| Flat 0-5\% Slopes | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.55 |
| Rolling 5-10\% Slopes | 0.3 | 0.45 | 0.6 |
| Hilly 10-30\% Slopes | 0.4 | 0.65 | 0.7 |
| Pasture |  |  |  |
| Flat 0-5\% Slopes | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.4 |
| Rolling 5-10\% Slopes | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.45 |
| Hilly 10-30\% Slopes | 0.22 | 0.4 | 0.55 |
| Woodlands and Cutover |  |  |  |
| Flat 0-5\% Slopes | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.35 |
| Rolling 5-10\% Slopes | 0.12 | 0.3 | 0.42 |
| Hilly 10-30\% Slopes | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.52 |
| Bare Rock | Coverage |  |  |
|  | 30\% | 50\% | 70\% |
| Flat 0-5\% Slopes | 0.4 | 0.55 | 0.75 |
| Rolling 5-10\% Slopes | 0.5 | 0.65 | 0.8 |
| Hilly 10-30\% Slopes | 0.55 | 0.7 | 0.85 |
| Lakes and Wetlands | 0.05 |  |  |
| Note: Values are a combination of the City of Kingston Subdivision Guidelines and Ministry of Transportation Design Chart 1.07 |  |  |  |

To reflect the unique hydrologic properties within each sub-catchment, a variety of surface cover types were defined. Refer to the composite runoff coefficient calculations in Appendix B for further details. A runoff coefficient of 0.25 is to be used for grassed and soft landscape surfaces, and 0.9 is proposed for asphalt and roof tops.

### 3.1.4 Pre-Development Flows

Runoff coefficients and catchment characteristics were assigned for the existing catchments and are summarized in Table 3-1 below.

Table 3-1 Existing Conditions

| Hydrologic Units - Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic |  |
| Unit |  | Description $\quad$| Est'd |
| :---: |
| C |

A minimum time of concentration of 15 minutes is recommended for all catchments.
Results shown in Table 3-2 quantify the pre-development peak rate of surface runoff that has been routed through the drainage system, eventually discharging to outlets downstream. Results are grouped by outlet location for all the rainfall events.

Table 3-2 Peak Flows in Pre-Development Conditions

| Peak Flows in Pre-Development Conditions (LPS) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Description | $\mathbf{2}$ Year Design <br> Storm | $\mathbf{5}$ Year Design <br> Storm | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Year Design <br> Storm |
|  | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) |
|  | 107 | 137 | 237 |

### 3.1.5 Post-Development Flows

The development of this site will have a minor increase the imperviousness of the site and hence the runoff. Runoff coefficients and catchment characteristics were assigned for the proposed catchments and are detailed in Table 3-3. Refer to the subdivision storm sewer design sheet in Appendix B for the calculated time of concentration.

## Table 3-3 Proposed Conditions

| Hydrologic Units - Proposed Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic <br> Unit | Description | Est'd <br> C | Area <br> (ha) | Watershed <br> Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Average <br> Width (m) | Average <br> Grade <br> (\%) | Tc <br> Proposed | Indiv. 2.78 <br> AC (ha) |
| P1 | Minor to Outlet 1/ <br> Major to Outlet 2 | 0.50 | 2.81 | 160 | 100 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ | 3.93 |

Results shown in Table 3-4 quantify the peak rate of surface runoff calculated with the rational method and assigned catchment characteristics. The post-development uncontrolled flow rates are calculated.

## Table 3-4 Uncontrolled Peak Flows in Post Development Conditions

| Uncontrolled Peak Flows in Post Development (LPS) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 25mm Quality Event | 2 Year Design Storm | 5 Year Design Storm | 100 Year Design Storm |
|  | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) |
| Minor to Outlet 1 / <br> Major to Outlet 2 | 121 | 179 | 237 | 395 |

As noted above, the proposed storm sewer is to be sized to convey the minor storm event. Drainage from catchment area P1 is to be conveyed to an oil grit separator prior to outletting to the 600 mm diameter storm sewer on Maple Street towards Outlet 1. The major overland flow for catchment area P1 is to be maintained within the right of way and directed south towards Garfield Street and out to King Street West to Outlet 2.

The existing and future drainage areas and storm sewer from Maple Street North to River Street were reviewed as part of the analysis. Detailed calculations demonstrate that the storm sewer is capable of conveying the uncontrolled minor event peak flow out to Gananoque River (Outlet 1). Refer to Appendix B Existing and Proposed Storm Sewer Design Sheets for further details.

Refer to the Rational Method Calculations for the $25 \mathrm{~mm}-4$ hour, 2 year, 5 year and 100 year event in Appendix B for the peak flow calculations.

Note, the King Street West storm sewer system was analysed from Garfield Street to Outlet 2 as part of the storm sewer review and the results are available upon request. The King Street West storm sewer system was found to have insufficient capacity for the proposed development.

### 3.2 Water Quality

The Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) describes various levels of protection of water quality based on a general relationship between the end-of-pipe stormwater management facilities long-term suspended solids removal and the lethal and chronic effects of suspended solids on aquatic life.

Based on the characteristics of the receiving watercourse, Level 1 or Enhanced Protection (corresponding to the end-of-pipe storage volumes required for the long-term removal of $80 \%$ of suspended solids) is required. Stormwater management measures will be implemented to provide in excess of $80 \%$ long-term removal of suspended solids.

### 3.2.1 Oil Grit Separator

The proposed storm sewer network will outlet to an oil grit separator. The proposed oil grit separator will provide in excess of $82 \%$ suspended solids removal.

A Contech precast concrete CDS Model PMSU3020_6m Oil and Grit Separator is proposed for quality control from catchment area P1.

Refer to Appendix B: Echelon Environmental Sizing Report and Cumulative Volume Calculations for further details.

### 3.3 Maintenance

The oil grit separator will separate the oils and sediment from runoff onsite and will require annual maintenance and pumper truck access.

Periodic maintenance inspection of the facilities is the responsibility of the Owner. A summary of observations during inspection of the facility over the course of the year should be provided. These observations should include comments on the:

- hydraulic operation of the facilities (detention time, evidence or occurrence of overflows)
- occurrence of obstructions at the inlet and outlet
- evidence of spills and oil/grease contamination
- frequency of trash build-up
- measured sediment depths in the facilities
- maintenance and operational control undertaken during the year
- recommendations for inspection and maintenance program for the coming year

The pipe system will require routine periodic maintenance including hydro vacuuming, flushing and debris removal annually. Removal of accumulated sediment will be required.

### 3.4 Quality Control (Short Term)

Silt fencing is to be provided at all side slopes and down gradient locations to ensure sediment and erosion control during construction. Other control devices such as straw bales will also be provided where drainage is concentrated. Sediment and erosion management measures also serve to provide a limit to the grading operations.

Straw bale filters are to be provided in overland swale systems.
The timeframe for land to remain exposed before it is stabilized with sod, mulch, or hydroseeding is to be minimized. Topsoil is to be stockpiled away from watercourses and wetlands. Rock check dams or straw bale filters are to be provided in overland swale and ditch systems.

Inspection of the sediment control works should be undertaken before and after all rainfall (and snowmelt) events. Maintenance is to be undertaken as required to ensure the proper operation of all sediment and erosion controls. Inspection and maintenance are the Owner's responsibility.

## 4. Conclusions

It is recommended that the Rocky Acres Subdivision proceed with the mitigation measures detailed in this report to address stormwater quality and quantity and erosion concerns on site.

The development is to be designed in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks, Town of Gananoque Public Works, and CRCA guidelines.

Stormwater runoff within the Rocky Acres Subdivision is to be directed to an oil grip separator prior to flow discharging to the storm sewer on Maple Street.

## Appendix A

## Concept Plan

Figure 2: Pre-development Catchment Areas
Figure 3: Post-development Catchment Areas
Figure 4: Gananoque West Ward Storm Sewer Catchment Areas





## Appendix B

MTO Gananoque Look Up Curve<br>Composite Runoff Coefficient Calculations<br>Storm Sewer Design Sheets<br>Rocky Acres Subdivision<br>Existing Storm Sewer Design Sheet to Gananoque River<br>Proposed Storm Sewer Design Sheet to Gananoque River<br>Rational Method Calculations<br>OGS Calculations

## Ontario ${ }^{*}$ IDF CURVE LOOKUP

## Active coordinate

$44^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 15 " \mathrm{~W}(44.329167,-76.170833)$
Retrieved: Thu, 08 Apr 2021 12:50:57 GMT


## Location summary

These are the locations in the selection.
IDF Curve: $44^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 45 " \mathrm{~N}, 76^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 15 " \mathrm{~W}(44.329167,-76.170833)$

## Results

An IDF curve was found.
Coordinate: 44.329167, -76.170833
IDF curve year: 2010


Coefficient summary
IDF Curve: $44^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}(44.329167,-76.170833)$
Retrieved: Thu, 08 Apr 2021 12:50:57 GMT
Data year: 2010
IDF curve year: 2010

| Return period | $2-\mathrm{yr}$ | $5-\mathrm{yr}$ | $10-\mathrm{yr}$ | $25-\mathrm{yr}$ | $50-\mathrm{yr}$ | $100-\mathrm{yr}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 20.5 | 27.3 | 31.7 | 37.3 | 41.5 | 45.6 |
| B | -0.699 | -0.699 | -0.699 | -0.699 | -0.699 | -0.699 |

## Statistics

Rainfall intensity ( $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{hr}^{-1}$ )

| Duration | 5-min | 10-min | 15-min | 30-min | 1-hr | 2-hr | 6-hr | 12-hr | 24-hr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-yr | 116.4 | 71.7 | 54.0 | 33.3 | 20.5 | 12.6 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| 5-yr | 155.1 | 95.5 | 71.9 | 44.3 | 27.3 | 16.8 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| $10-\mathrm{yr}$ | 180.1 | 110.9 | 83.5 | 51.5 | 31.7 | 19.5 | 9.1 | 5.6 | 3.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - y r}$ | 211.9 | 130.5 | 98.3 | 60.6 | 37.3 | 23.0 | 10.7 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| 50-yr | 235.7 | 145.2 | 109.4 | 67.4 | 41.5 | 25.6 | 11.9 | 7.3 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 - y r}$ | 259.0 | 159.5 | 120.2 | 74.0 | 45.6 | 28.1 | 13.0 | 8.0 | 4.9 |

Rainfall depth (mm)

| Duration | 5-min | 10-min | 15-min | 30-min | 1-hr | 2-hr | 6-hr | 12-hr | 24-hr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-yr | 9.7 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 16.6 | 20.5 | 25.3 | 35.2 | 43.3 | 53.4 |
| 5-yr | 12.9 | 15.9 | 18.0 | 22.2 | 27.3 | 33.6 | 46.8 | 57.7 | 71.1 |
| 10-yr | 15.0 | 18.5 | 20.9 | 25.7 | 31.7 | 39.1 | 54.4 | 67.0 | 82.5 |
| 25-yr | 17.7 | 21.8 | 24.6 | 30.3 | 37.3 | 46.0 | 64.0 | 78.8 | 97.1 |
| 50-yr | 19.6 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 33.7 | 41.5 | 51.1 | 71.2 | 87.7 | 108.0 |
| 100-yr | 21.6 | 26.6 | 30.0 | 37.0 | 45.6 | 56.2 | 78.2 | 96.3 | 118.7 |

Terms of Use
You agree to the Terms of Use of this site by reviewing, using, or interpreting these data.

Ontario Ministry of Transportation | Terms and Conditions | About
Last Modified: September 2016

| Composite Runoff Coefficients |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rocky Acres Subdivsion |  |  |  |
| Hydrologic Units - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
| Drainage Area No. | Total Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient -C | Description |
| EX1 |  |  |  |
| Grass / Rock Outcrop | 2.8100 | 0.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.81 | 0.25 |  |


| Hydrologic Units - Proposed Conditions |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Total Area (ha) | Runoff Coefficient -C | Description |
|  |  |  |  |
| P1 |  |  |  |
| Grass | 1.710 | 0.25 |  |
| Asphalt/Concrete | 0.505 | 0.90 |  |
| Building | 0.591 | 0.90 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Weighted Product Equation |
| :--- |

$$
C_{\text {weighted }}=\frac{C_{1} * A_{1}+C_{2} * A_{2}+C_{3} * A_{3} \ldots+C_{n} * A_{n}}{A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3} \ldots A_{n}}
$$

| PROPOSED STORM SEWER DESIIGN SHEET (ROCKY ACRES SUBDIVIIIION) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLIENT PROJECT DATE | Rocky Acres Subdivision April 2021 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min. V }= \\ & \text { Max. V }= \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} \\ \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | DESIGN FREQUENCY RAINFALL STATIONS DESIGNED ' n |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 & 100 \\ \text { Gananoque MTO }- \text { Look Up } \\ 0.013 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATION: ROCKY ACRES SUBDIVISION |  |  |  |  | DRAINAGE AREA $=10.2 .81 \quad$ ha |  |  |  |  |  | RUNOFF |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type of } \\ & \text { Pipe } \end{aligned}$ | RequiredPipeDiameter$\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ | Nominal Diameter D (mm) | (elpe SEL | Grade S | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { Capacity } \\ (L S S) \end{gathered}$ | Full Flow Velocity V ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Time of Flow (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity } \\ \text { Used } \\ \text { Q/Q(t) } \end{gathered}$ | ActualVelocity (m/s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Normal } \\ & \text { Depth } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free } \\ \text { Outfall } \\ \text { D/S } \\ H G L(m) \end{gathered}$ | Fall in Sewer (m) |
| Area (ha) | Street | Inlet Description | FROM | то | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}= \\ & 0.15 \\ & \text { ha } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}= \\ & 0.35 \\ & \text { ha } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}= \\ & 0.40 \\ & \text { ha } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 Year |  | 100 Year |  | Time of Conc. (min) | 5 Year Intensity 1 (mm/hr) | 100 Year Intensity (mm/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \text { Flow Q } \\ \text { (L/S) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}= \\ 0.50 \\ \mathrm{ha} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indiv. } \\ \text { 2.78AC } \\ \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | Accum. <br> 2.78AC ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.51 | Street A | P1A | RYCB1 | MH1 |  |  |  | 0.510 | 0.708 | 0.708 |  |  | 15.0 | 70 |  | 49 | HDPE | 300 | 300 | 40 | 0.30\% | 53 | 0.75 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 229 | 0.23 | 0.120 |
| 0.40 | Street A | P1B | RYCB2 | MH1 |  |  |  | 0.400 | 0.556 | 0.556 |  |  | 15.0 | 70 |  | 39 | HDPE | 300 | 300 | 50 | 0.30\% | 53 | 0.75 | 1.11 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 190 | 0.19 | 0.150 |
| 0.20 | Street A | P1B | MH1 | MH2 |  |  |  | 0.200 | 0.278 | 1.542 |  |  | 16.1 | 67 |  | 103 | HDPE | 450 | 375 | 50 | 0.30\% | 96 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 0.87 | 375 | 0.38 | 0.150 |
| 0.20 | Street A | P1B | MH2 | м ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ |  |  |  | 0.200 | 0.278 | 1.819 |  |  | 17.1 | 65 |  | 118 | HDPE | 450 | 375 | 15 | 0.35\% | 104 | 0.94 | 0.27 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 375 | 0.38 | 0.053 |
| 0.70 | Street A | P1C | мН3 | MH4 |  |  |  | 0.700 | 0.972 | 2.792 |  |  | 17.3 | 64 |  | 180 | HDPE | 450 | 450 | 100 | 0.45\% | 191 | 1.20 | 1.39 | 0.94 | 1.37 | 346 | 0.35 | 0.450 |
|  | Street A |  | MH4 | OGS |  |  |  |  |  | 2.792 |  |  | 18.7 | 62 |  | 172 | HDPE | 450 | 450 | 23 | 0.45\% | 191 | 1.20 | 0.32 | 0.90 | 1.36 | 332 | 0.33 | 0.104 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.40 | Street A | P1D | MH7 | MH6 |  |  |  | 0.400 | 0.556 | 0.556 |  |  | 15.0 | 70 |  | 39 | HDPE | 250 | 250 | 33 | 0.50\% | 42 | 0.86 | 0.64 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 189 | 0.19 | 0.165 |
| 0.20 | Street A | P1D | MH6 | MH5 |  |  |  | 0.200 | 0.278 | 0.833 |  |  | 15.6 | 68 |  | 57 | HDPE | 300 | 300 | 29 | 0.40\% | 61 | 0.87 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 228 | 0.23 | 0.116 |
| 0.20 | Street A | P1D | MH5 | OGS |  |  |  | 0.200 | 0.278 | 1.111 |  |  | 16.2 | 67 |  | 74 | HDPE | 375 | 375 | 26 | 0.30\% | 96 | 0.87 | 0.50 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 247 | 0.25 | 0.078 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Easement |  | OGS | MH8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.903 |  |  | 19.0 | 61 |  | 238 | HDPE | 375 | 450 | 55 | 2.30\% | 432 | 2.72 | 0.34 | 0.55 | 2.78 | 237 | 0.24 | 1.265 |
|  | Easement |  | MH8 | MH9 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.903 |  |  | 19.4 | 60 |  | 236 | HDPE | 450 | 450 | 13 | 0.80\% | 255 | 1.60 | 0.14 | 0.92 | 1.82 | 341 | 0.34 | 0.104 |
|  | Maple Street |  | MH9 | EX.MH11 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.903 |  |  | 19.5 | 60 |  | 234 | HDPE | 450 | 450 | 35 | 0.80\% | 255 | 1.60 | 0.36 | 0.92 | 1.82 | 339 | 0.34 | 0.280 |




## RATIONAL METHOD CACULATION

Project: Rocky Acres Subdivision
Date: April 2021

| Hydrologic Units - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 Year Design Storm |  | 5 Year Design Storm |  | 100 Year Design Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic Unit | Description | Est'd C | Area (ha) | Watershed Length (m) | Average Width (m) | Average Grade (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tc (Bransby } \\ \text { Williams) } \\ \text { (when } C=>0.4) \end{gathered}$ | Tc (Kirpich Method) ( $\mathrm{C}<0.4$ ) | Tc Proposed | Indiv. <br> 2.78 AC (ha) | Intensity 1 <br> (mm/hr) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Intensity I (mm/hr) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) | Intensity I <br> (mm/hr) | Peak Flow Q (LPS) |
| E1 | Outlet 1 | 0.25 | 2.81 | 160 | 100 | 1.0 | 8.22 | 5.63 | 15.0 | 1.97 | 54.5 | 107 | 69.85 | 137 | 120.27 | 237 |


| Hydrologic Units - Proposed Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 mm Quality Event |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic Unit | Description | Est'd C | Area (ha) | Watershed Length (m) | Average Width (m) | Average Grade (\%) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Tc (Bransby } \\ \text { Williams) } \\ \text { (when C }=>0.4 \text { ) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Tc (Kirpich Method) ( $\ll 0.4$ ) | Tc Proposed | Indiv. <br> 2.78 AC (ha) | Intensity I (mm/hr) | Peak Flow 0 (LPS) |  |
| P1 | Minor to Outlet 1/ Major to Outlet 2 | 0.50 | 2.81 | 160 | 100 | 0.5 | 9.45 | 7.35 | 19.5 | 3.93 | 30.78 | 121 |  |

## Rational Method Calculation

Formula:
Q (LPS) $=\left.\quad 2.78^{*} C^{*}\right|^{*} A$
Where:
$\mathrm{Q}=$
$\mathrm{C}=$
MTO Gananoque IDF Look Up Curve (Quantity Event)
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\quad$ Time of Concentration, (15 minute minimum)

| Kirpich Method | $0.0192\left[\mathrm{~L}^{0.77} / \mathrm{S}_{(m / m)}{ }^{0.385}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airport Method | $3.26(1.1-\mathrm{C})^{*} \mathrm{~L}^{0.5} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}^{0.33}$ |

Airport Method
Drainage area, ha
$A=$
$3.26(1.1-C)^{*} L^{0.5} / S_{w}^{0.33}$
2.78* C *। * A

Peak runoff rate, LPS

## 25mm-4 hr (Quality Event)

$$
I_{(25 \mathrm{~mm})}=\quad \frac{498}{\text { (tc }+9.7)^{0.825}}
$$

| CDS ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SOLIDS LOAD REDUCTION BASED ON THE RATIONAL RAINFALL METHOD <br> BASED ON A FINE PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: <br> Location: <br> OGS \#: | Rocky Acres Subdivision Ganaoque, ON |  | Engineer: Forefront Engineering INC <br> Contact: Jeff Homer, P.Eng <br> Report Date: 14-Apr-21 |  |  |  |  |
| Area Weighted C CDS Model | $\begin{aligned} & 2.75 \\ & 0.50 \\ & 3020 \end{aligned}$ | ha |  | Rainfall Station \# Particle Size Distribution CDS Treatment Capacity |  | 214 <br> FINE <br> 57 | 1/s |
| $\frac{\frac{\text { Rainfall }}{\text { Intensity }}{ }^{1}}{\underline{\text { (mm/hr) }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent }}{\text { Rainfall }} \\ & \text { Volume }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative $\frac{\text { Rainfall }}{\text { Volume }}$ | Total Flowrate ( $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Treated Flowrate (l/s) | $\frac{\text { Operating }}{\text { Rate (\%) }}$ | Removal Efficiency (\%) | Incremental <br> Removal (\%) |
| 1.0 | 10.8\% | 20.7\% | 3.8 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 96.9 | 10.5 |
| 1.5 | 10.1\% | 30.8\% | 5.7 | 5.7 | 10.0 | 96.0 | 9.7 |
| 2.0 | 9.1\% | 39.9\% | 7.6 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 95.0 | 8.6 |
| 2.5 | 7.0\% | 46.9\% | 9.4 | 9.4 | 16.7 | 94.1 | 6.6 |
| 3.0 | 6.9\% | 53.9\% | 11.3 | 11.3 | 20.0 | 93.1 | 6.4 |
| 3.5 | 4.5\% | 58.4\% | 13.2 | 13.2 | 23.3 | 92.2 | 4.2 |
| 4.0 | 4.5\% | 62.9\% | 15.1 | 15.1 | 26.7 | 91.2 | 4.1 |
| 4.5 | 4.1\% | 67.0\% | 17.0 | 17.0 | 30.0 | 90.3 | 3.7 |
| 5.0 | 3.8\% | 70.8\% | 18.9 | 18.9 | 33.3 | 89.3 | 3.4 |
| 6.0 | 5.7\% | 76.5\% | 22.7 | 22.7 | 40.0 | 87.4 | 4.9 |
| 7.0 | 4.5\% | 81.0\% | 26.4 | 26.4 | 46.7 | 85.5 | 3.9 |
| 8.0 | 3.6\% | 84.5\% | 30.2 | 30.2 | 53.4 | 83.6 | 3.0 |
| 9.0 | 2.3\% | 86.8\% | 34.0 | 34.0 | 60.0 | 81.7 | 1.8 |
| 10.0 | 1.9\% | 88.7\% | 37.8 | 37.8 | 66.7 | 79.7 | 1.5 |
| 15.0 | 6.1\% | 94.8\% | 56.7 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 70.2 | 4.3 |
| 20.0 | 2.6\% | 97.5\% | 75.5 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 52.6 | 1.4 |
| 25.0 | 2.0\% | 99.4\% | 94.4 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 42.1 | 0.8 |
| 30.0 | 0.4\% | 99.9\% | 113.3 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 0.2 |
| 35.0 | 0.1\% | 100.0\% | 132.2 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 30.1 | 0.0 |
| 40.0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 151.1 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 |
| 45.0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 170.0 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 23.4 | 0.0 |
| 50.0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 188.9 | 56.6 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 |
| Removal Efficiency Adjustment ${ }^{2}=$ Predicted Net Annual Load Removal Efficiency = Predicted Annual Rainfall Treated = |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 88.8 \\ \hline 6.5 \% \\ 82.3 \% \\ 98.2 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 - Based on 44 years of hourly rainfall data from Canadian Station 6104175, Kingston ON <br> 2 - Reduction due to use of 60-minute data for a site that has a time of concentration less than 30-minutes. <br> 3 - CDS Efficiency based on testing conducted at the University of Central Florida <br> 4 - CDS design flowrate and scaling based on standard manufacturer model \& product specifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## CDS M0DEL PMSU30_20m, 2 CFS TREATMENT CAPACITY STORM WATER TREATMENT UNIT

| CownTEPHSTORMWAITRSolutions. | $\underset{\text { PITY, STATE }}{\text { PROJECT }}$ NAME | Job\# | CAN-\#\#-\#\#\# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DATE | \#\#/\#\#/\#\# | $\begin{gathered} \text { SHEET } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | DRAWN | Intilals |  |



## CDS M0DEL PMSU30_20m, 2 CFS TREATMENT CAPACITY STORM WATER TREATMENT UNIT

|  | PROJECT NAME CITY, STATE | Job\# | CAN-\#\#-\#\#\# | SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}$ $=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DATE | \#\#/\#\#/\#\# | SHEET |
|  |  | DRAWN | INITALS | 2 |
|  |  | APPROV. |  | 2 |

